#### THE DARK SIDE OF AMERICAN MONOPOLIES

Monopoliesy, the infamous characters in the study of economy, haves been making its mark on American economy ever since the beginning of the 1900's. During this period, there was a sudden rise in American monopolies. As a country driven by the concept of capitalism, wherein the market was free and left entirely up to the private and corporate businesses, monopoliesy in American wereas a suicidal notion. Although a lot of people may not-fail to see the dark side of American monopolies, but the fact is that it still remains, it is there. This dark side may be disguised by the big, shiny names of the monopolizing businesses, but it cannot be ignored any furtherlonger. It is a good thing thatFortunately, some American monopolies such as AT&T have been broken up, such as AT&T, which was finally overtaken by other, jump-starting companies.

Another such-classic example of the controversy behind American monopolies is the issue of monopoliesy in American agriculture. For years, American agriculture has been battling against monopoly through<u>fought</u> an anti-monopoly crusade. The politics behind <u>agriculture in the</u>the United States' <u>agriculture</u> is continuously caught between the importance of competition and the dominating monopoliesy and capitalism that <u>is in placeexist</u>.

In retrospect, <u>a</u> monopoly is a market situation in which there is only one provider of a certain <u>goodproduct or service</u>. <u>A m</u>Monopoly is caused by lack of competition, <u>as well as</u> <u>and</u> the lack of substitute goods <u>as well</u>. People have no other choice but to <u>purchasebuy</u> a certain product, and to buy a particular brand. <u>MonopoliesIt areis</u> also caused by low <u>entry</u> barriers to <u>entry</u> into the particular industry. In a market that is ruled by a monopoly, the prevailing market price is determined by the goods or service provider. <u>On the other hand, w</u>Without

monopoliesy, , on the other hand, the price settles at an equilibrium that is not controlled by anyone. Both the The buyer and the seller both have to operate play within rules set by the market itself.

Anyone would agree that the disadvantages of <u>a</u>\_monopoly and its negative effects on the entire economy of a country far outweigh its advantages. Looking <u>closely</u> at the issue-closely, it seems that monopoliesy only <u>appear to</u> benefits the producers, or the corporate businesses. With the lack of competition, consumers have no other choice but to patronize the products of the monopolizing producer. This gives the producer complete and total control over the price of the particular product. With the absence of any other options, most consumers are willing to <u>purchasebuy</u> the products, even at a higher price. Producers, as businesses, will definitely jump at the chance to increase their profits from every unit sold by increasing the prices. Businesses can justify the price increases by <u>claimingsaying</u> that such an increasethey will enable and empower them to produce <u>a higher volume of better qualityqualitymore</u> products that are better in quality. The question is, does this really happen? Do <u>businessesthey</u> really give back to the market what the market gives them?

The other, darker side of American monopolies can be seen inthrough its many disadvantages. The Springing from the previous discussion regarding higher market prices previously discussed, these higher prices are definitely represent a disadvantage to consumers. Although most consumers are willing to pay a high price for the a particular product, there will still be some individuals who will not be able to be unable to afford the product by any means. This will then be a reason forforce these people to just forego the product. However, what if the product answers tsupplies o an individual person's basic need? What will the person do?

Aside In addition to from the disadvantage to the consumers' economic situation of the consumers, the question of whether the monopolizing companies are giving back to the market through more and superiorbetter products remainsis still-unanswered. This is the issue tackled by the societal marketing concept, wherein the efforts of companies are evaluated based on their long-term effects on to the society in the long run. "Complacent giants,", another term that is wellknown in the study of economy, is often used to refer to the monopolizing companies or producers. Because of the Given the direct and indirect absence of competition, both direct and indirect, these producers become complacent about their products. With the lack of challenge from competitors, they do not feel the need to improve and upgrade their products any longermore. They also do not fail to see the importance of quality-anymore, and, They now lack the motivation to innovate. This is because they are fully aware that the consumers will continue tostill purchase whatever kind of product they come outoffer with, since these consumers simply, simply because they have no other choice. This is very harmful to both the entire market and even to the country's economy. Such a lack of motivation to innovate will hinder any further improvements in the particular market.

When <u>producers</u>they become complacent, they <u>also</u> become less efficient in production. The<u>ir</u> products may suffer either in quantity or quality. This is ultimately harmful to economy as a whole. This is why competition and the lack of monopoly are considered very important. <u>C</u>The competition is healthy for the economy, because it <u>promotes</u>motivates improvements and encourages the market to move forward. Looking at a world where hunger and poverty are prevailing, and resources are lacking due to the weakening of the environment.

It <u>is ahas been a well-known fact that monopoliesy</u> are is bad for the economy. At the present, As as the current markets continue to thrive, several monopolies are

ESL Proofreading Plus + Services available at <u>http://www.supaproofread.com/student-proofreading-plus.html</u>

slowly breaking up. The new economy is welcomesing the arrival of a greater variety of <u>available</u> goods and services <u>available</u> for the market to exhaust. Thus, the <u>existing</u> monopolies that are in place are starting to lose their hold on the market. Aside fromIn addition to AT&T, another well-known example of <u>an</u> American monopoly is Standard Oil. During recent years, Microsoft also became the center of the anti-monopoly controversy, when it was faced withfaced anthe anti-trust law to regulate its monopolistic tendencies. The monopoly of Microsoft, and <u>even of</u> Internet Explorer, which people believed was <u>aits</u> partner in monopolizing the computer and Internet industry, was slowly broken up by the said <u>aforementioned</u> regulatory law. American economists are relying on the aggressiveness of the markets so that not <u>even</u> one producer would be able to maintain a monopoly over a particular product. Most people believe that the breaking upelimination of monopolies is essentially necessary for America's the further economic development <u>of America</u>.